

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/815,559 | 03/31/2004 | James Loran Ball | ALTRP129/A1389 | 7127 |
| 51501 7: | 51501 7590 09/12/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP | | | SCHLIE, PAUL W | |
| ATTN: ALTER | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| P.O. BOX 7025 | 50 CA 94612-0250 | | 2186 | |
| OAKLAND, C | A 94012-0230 | | DATE MAILED: 09/12/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) BALL, JAMES LORAN | |
|--|---|---------------------------------|--------------|
| December 45 Bule 242 Communication | 10/815,559 | | |
| Response to Rule 312 Communication | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Paul W. Schlie | - 2186 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication | appears on the cover sheet | with the correspondence | address – |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| ☑ The amendment filed on <u>25 August 2006</u> under 37 CFI | R 1.312 has been considered, | and has been: | |
| a) 🔲 entered. | | | |
| b) entered as directed to matters of form not affecting | ng the scope of the invention. | | |
| c) disapproved because the amendment was filed a | fter the payment of the issue f | ee | |
| Any amendment filed after the date the issue | fee is paid must be accompani | ed by a petition under 37 (| CFR 1.313(c) |
| | | | |
| and the required fee to withdraw the application | on from issue. | • | |
| and the required fee to withdraw the application d) ☑ disapproved. See explanation below. | on from issue. | | |
| • | on from issue | • | |
| d) ⊠ disapproved. See explanation below. | on 9/5/06, it was agreed that a aims 6 and 7, such that they w | | |
| d) disapproved. See explanation below. e) entered in part. See explanation below. As per an examiner initiated interview with Jeff Weaver a submitted shortly to further correct the dependency of cl | on 9/5/06, it was agreed that a aims 6 and 7, such that they w | | |
| d) \(\subseteq \text{ disapproved. See explanation below.} \) e) \(\subseteq \text{ entered in part. See explanation below.} \) As per an examiner initiated interview with Jeff Weaver of submitted shortly to further correct the dependency of cl. | on 9/5/06, it was agreed that a aims 6 and 7, such that they w | | |
| d) \(\subseteq \text{ disapproved. See explanation below.} \) e) \(\subseteq \text{ entered in part. See explanation below.} \) As per an examiner initiated interview with Jeff Weaver of submitted shortly to further correct the dependency of cl. | on 9/5/06, it was agreed that a aims 6 and 7, such that they w | | |

MATTHEW KIM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100